

Note 15. At the intersection of Columbia Street and Manila Avenue in Warren Heights (Exhibit XII) an error was made on the original plat. It is an isolated computational or drafting error which did not affect the surrounding measurements.

Note 16. In the platting of STS First Addition (Exhibit XVII) for some reason the subdividers did not use the same length of block on the east side of Climax Street as what had been used on the McEwen's Addition plat on the west side of the street. There is a 2.9 foot jog in Granite Street at its intersection with Climax Street, and North Street, if not vacated, would have a 0.9 foot offset. This offset is not indicated on the STS plat of the now vacated Mosby Heights Addition (Exhibit XVIII) however. I believe a platting error exists, but since the intent of the original surveyor is not known let it be noted that different block lengths exist.

Note 17. The ties made by the Sumpter Townsite Syndicates surveys to the corners of Sections 28, 29, 32 and 33 indicate that the corner as now monumented may be about 2½ feet north of its original location. This does not present any unresolvable problems.

Note 18. On the plat of Vinson's Addition, Bourne Avenue and North Streets are shown through the entire width and length of the subdivision (See Exhibit XVI). The county tax maps have omitted Bourne Avenue south of North Street and North Street has been omitted west of Bourne Avenue. These streets were platted and dedicated and have been left off later maps due to an oversight.

Note 19. This quarter corner between Sections 29 and 32 was the basis for the first Sumpter plats and many mineral surveys. The corner is near the creek where placer mining has taken place, and since the 1920's the area had been devastated by a gold dredge. It appears that the quarter corner may have been moved by the mining activity when the tie was made to Central Addition. The exteriors of Central Addition do not close, and I have not determined the cause or origin of the problem.

Jim Hanley has a computed location for this corner; but at the time of the field work for this report it still had not been monumented, and thus I have no good tie of my survey to this corner. I have determined where I believe the corner was in 1900 from the various ties made from found corners, but it is approximately 4 feet west of Jim Hanley's corner.